| NODIS Library | Program Management(8000s) | Search |



NPR 8735.2A

Effective Date: August 02,

2006

Expiration Date: August 02,

2011

COMPLIANCE IS MANDATORY

Printable Format (PDF)

Request Notification of Change

(NASA Only)

Subject: Management of Government Quality Assurance Functions for NASA Contracts

Responsible Office: Office of Safety and Mission Assurance

| TOC | ChangeHistory | Preface | Chapter1 | Chapter2 | Chapter3 | Chapter4 | Chapter5 | Chapter6 | Chapter7 | Chapter8 | AppendixA | AppendixB | AppendixC | ALL |

Appendix B. Program/Project Risk Considerations

This Appendix discusses the identification and analysis of potential risk factors to be considered during development of the PQASP.

1. Identification of Contract Risks.

For each contract, there is a set of characteristics which have been identified as contract requirements and/or deliverable items. These contract-specified items are established during the formulation subprocess of the program/project and have been determined to be critical for the overall contract performance. Other nonspecified items are often related to practices or product features that the contractor has been given flexibility in performing. In identifying contract delivery risks during contract development, source selection, contract award negotiation, and contract performance, contract-specified items should be a primary area of consideration. In order to accomplish these functions effectively, it is imperative that the NASA Safety and Mission Assurance Lead has substantial, direct involvement with the contract development, source selection, contract award, and contractor performance evaluation activities. Typical risks include, but are not limited to, clarity and stability of requirements, introduction of new or development technology or processes, schedule, compatibility, interfaces, or other specified design and/or process conditions.

- 2. Analyzing the Impact of Contract Risks.
- 2.1 After establishing the list of potential contract delivery risks, each risk will need to be evaluated to estimate the consequences of the risk, the likelihood of the risk occurring, and the timeframe in which action must be taken to ensure effective mitigation of the identified risk. NPR 8000.4, Risk Management Procedural Requirements, provides the overall process requirements for performing these analyses.
- 2.2 The following are considerations in establishing consequence (severity) of the risks:
- a. Safety Do risks involve risk to the public, risk to astronauts and pilots, risk to NASA workforce, risk to high value equipment and/or mission success?
- b. Cost Could risk have a significant impact to the overall project/process operating cost?
- c. Schedule Could risk impact a "long-lead item," involve a deliverable that is not off-the-shelf, have limited contingency or margin options, or otherwise have the potential to significantly impact project/process schedule?
- d. Performance Could risk significantly reduce user group access, availability, or mission life or impact the mission success criteria?
- e. Other Could risk affect national or NASA prestige?
- 2.3 For the identified risks, evaluation is required to establish the likelihood of occurrence. This determination can involve a combination of quantitative as well as qualitative considerations. The contract risks will change throughout

the program/project life cycle, requiring periodic re-evaluation. Considerations in determining the likelihood of occurrence include the following:

- a. Goals Do the contract requirements involve high-precision, sensitive components, or difficult-to-obtain performance features?
- b. Margin Do the contracted requirements have a low factor of safety, margin of error, or tight design tolerances?
- c. Control Do the contracted requirements involve processes prone to human error or issues with process stability, repeatability, or output control?
- d. Redundancy Do the contracted requirements involve safety or mission success functions that are not failure tolerant?
- e. Maturity Do the contracted requirements involve new technology, a new application, or nonstandard process techniques, tools, or equipment?
- f. Heritage Do the contracted requirements have a prior history of performance or capability issues for the same or similar design or processes?
- g. Inspection Do the contracted requirements fail to specify receiving, in-process, and/or final inspection, test, or monitoring at the contract location that would be an effective screen?
- h. Problems/Issues During the performance of the contract, have technical and/or quality issues occurred which require direct Government involvement to resolve the issue?
- i. Contractor Quality System Is there a lack of confidence in the quality system of the contractor for any of the following reasons:
- (1) NASA does not have knowledge of a quality assurance audit performed by a credible source.
- (2) The contractor has not demonstrated acceptable past quality performance.
- (3) The contractor is new to working with NASA and NASA-type requirements.
- (4) The contractor has experienced instability in their quality system or continuous nonconformance issues with any aspect of their quality system.
- (5) The contractor has insufficient performance measures or incentives for contract monitoring.
- (6) The quality expectations for contract deliverables are not sufficiently detailed.

| TOC | ChangeHistory | Preface | Chapter1 | Chapter2 | Chapter3 | Chapter4 | Chapter5 | Chapter6 | Chapter7 | Chapter8 | AppendixA | AppendixB | AppendixC | ALL |

| NODIS Library | Program Management(8000s) | Search |

DISTRIBUTION: NODIS

This Document Is Uncontrolled When Printed.

Check the NASA Online Directives Information System (NODIS) Library to Verify that this is the correct version before use: http://nodis3.gsfc.nasa.gov